

Practical Entangled-Photon Virtual-State Spectroscopy using Intense Twin Beams

Jiří Svozilík,^{1,2,*} Jan Peřina Jr.,¹ and Roberto de J. León-Montiel³

¹*RCPTM, Joint Laboratory of Optics of Palacký University and Institute of Physics of AS CR,
17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic*

²*Quantum Optics Laboratory, Universidad de los Andes, A.A. 4976, Bogotá D.C., Colombia*

³*Instituto de Ciencias Nucleares, Universidad Nacional Autónoma de México,
Apartado Postal 70-543, 04510 Cd. Mx., México*

We propose a new practical approach towards ultrasensitive measurements in chemical and biological systems based on the so-called virtual-state spectroscopy technique. The proposed scheme makes use of intense twin beams generated by pump pulses with different frequency chirps to successfully extract information about the virtual states that contribute to the two-photon excitation of an absorbing medium. Interestingly, we show that our approach may enable entangled-photon absorption rates up to four orders of magnitude larger than previously reported. Because of its simplicity, our method paves the way towards the first experimental implementation of the virtual-state spectroscopy technique.

PACS numbers: 42.50.Ct, 42.50.Hz

Introduction—Quantum entanglement, which lies in the heart of virtual-state spectroscopy, has been recognized as a powerful resource for the development of novel methods and applications in various fields of research, including quantum cryptography [1], quantum computing [2], and quantum metrology [3]. In particular, regarding the latter, the use of entangled light in two-photon absorption (TPA) spectroscopy has already received a great deal of attention [4–9] because of the unique phenomena that arise in the interaction of entangled photon pairs with matter. As examples, we mention linear scaling of the TPA rates on the photon flux [10], two-photon-induced transparency [11], the ability to select different states in complex biological aggregates [12], and the control of entanglement in matter [13, 14]. Indeed, the prediction and observation of these fascinating effects can be understood as a direct consequence of the dependence of the TPA signal on the properties of quantum light that interacts with the sample [15].

Among different techniques proposed over the years, entangled-photon virtual-state spectroscopy (VSS) [16–18] has proved to be a unique tool for extracting information about the virtual states — energy non-conserving atomic transitions [19, 20] — that contribute to the two-photon excitation of an absorbing medium. In this technique, virtual-state transitions, a signature of the medium, are experimentally revealed by introducing a time-delay between frequency-correlated photons, and averaging over experimental realizations differing in temporal correlations between these photons [16].

Even though VSS represents a new route towards novel applications in ultrasensitive detection [21], it has not been broadly applied yet as the ease with which it can be performed is limited by two important factors: Firstly, as VSS relies on a TPA process, its implementation is challenging due to the low TPA rates and required weak sources of photon pairs. Secondly, the average over sev-

eral realizations differing in temporal correlations between the photons is obtained by either changing the width of the pump pulse (in Type-I nonlinear process) or the length of the nonlinear crystal (Type-II) in each realization [16], which can be experimentally cumbersome.

In this contribution, we put forward an experimental scheme that successfully overcomes both issues. The first one is addressed by considering that the medium interacts with a twin beam composed by a larger number of entangled photon pairs and generated by means of intense parametric down-conversion (PDC) [22–24]. Indeed, properties of such twin beams have been commonly investigated [25–27] and, more importantly, it has been shown that strong frequency correlations inside the twin beam persist even at these higher photon-flux conditions [22, 28–30]. To address the second issue, we introduce a novel technique in which the temporal correlations between photons are controlled by frequency variations in the spectrum of the pump pulse. This approach is motivated by the experiments in which the properties of entangled photon pairs are controlled by modifying the spatial shape of the pump beam [31, 32]. Considering phase modulations between the photons caused by the pump-frequency variations due to a pump-frequency chirp ξ_p (as illustrated in Fig. 1), we show that information about the energy-level structure of the sample can be extracted by computing the relative variance of several TPA signals obtained for different pump-frequency chirps.

Our proposed scheme opens a new avenue towards the experimental implementation of virtual-state spectroscopy by overcoming the low photon-flux issue, while maintaining the most important feature of this technique, which lies in the fact that, unlike other commonly used TPA spectroscopy techniques — where sophisticated tunable sources are required — it can be implemented by carrying out pulsed or continuous-wave absorption measurements without changing the wavelength of the source




FIG. 1. Proposed experimental setup. The spectrum of a pump pulse, with time duration τ_p and power P_p , is modulated by a phase-modulator generating a frequency chirp ξ_p . The modified beam interacts with a nonlinear crystal of length L , which serves as a source of twin beams composed of many photon pairs. The generated photons are spatially separated and a time-delay τ between them is introduced. Information about virtual transitions is then obtained by monitoring the two-photon absorption rate as a function of τ , for different values of the pump-frequency chirp ξ_p .

[16, 18, 33].

Theoretical background — Let us consider the interaction of a medium with a twin beam, described by the interaction Hamiltonian $\hat{H}_I(t) = \hat{\mu}(t) \hat{E}^{(+)}(t)$, where $\hat{\mu}(t)$ is the medium dipole-moment operator and $\hat{E}^{(+)}(t) = \hat{E}_s^{(+)}(t + \tau) + \hat{E}_i^{(+)}(t)$ is the overall positive-frequency electric-field operator, with subscripts s and i denoting the signal and idler fields that constitute the twin beam. Notice that we have included a time-delay τ between the fields, which is needed for implementing the VSS technique [16].

We assume that the medium is initially in its ground state $|g\rangle$ (with energy ϵ_g). Then, the probability that the medium is excited into its final state $|f\rangle$ (with energy ϵ_f), through a TPA process, is obtained by means of second-order time-dependent perturbation theory in the form [17, 34, 35]:

$$P_{g \rightarrow f} = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt_2 \int_{-\infty}^{t_2} dt_1 \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt'_2 \int_{-\infty}^{t'_2} dt'_1 \quad (1)$$

$$\times M^*(t_2, t_1) G^{(2)}(t_2, t_1; t'_2, t'_1) M(t'_2, t'_1),$$

where

$$M(t_2, t_1) = \sum_j \frac{\mu_{fj} \mu_{jg}}{\hbar^2} \exp[i(\epsilon_f - \epsilon_j)t_2 + i(\epsilon_j - \epsilon_g)t_1], \quad (2)$$

$$G^{(2)}(t_2, t_1; t'_2, t'_1) = \langle \hat{E}^{(-)}(t_2) \hat{E}^{(-)}(t_1) \times \hat{E}^{(+)}(t'_2) \hat{E}^{(+)}(t'_1) \rangle, \quad (3)$$

and $\hbar$ stands for the reduced Planck constant.

Equation (2) describes the response of the medium to the applied fields and μ_{fj} and μ_{jg} are the transition matrix elements of the dipole-moment operator between the states specified in the subscript. Notice that the excitation of the medium occurs through intermediate,

fast decaying, states $|j\rangle$, with complex energy eigenvalues $\epsilon_j = \bar{\epsilon}_j - i\kappa_j$; $\bar{\epsilon}_j$ is the energy eigenvalue of the j th intermediate level and κ_j gives its natural linewidth.

On the other hand, Eq. (3), giving the fourth-order field correlation function [36, 37], characterizes statistical properties of the interacting optical fields. In what follows, we concentrate on the description of the quantum fields comprising a twin beam, whose temporal (as well as spectral) correlations are controlled by frequency variations in the spectrum of the pump field used for its generation.

Entangled photon pairs produced in a nonlinear crystal of length L , pumped by an intense laser pulse with tunable spectral properties, is described by a quantum state of the form [22, 30, 38]

$$|\Phi\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^L dz \hat{G}(z) |\text{vac}\rangle, \quad (4)$$

with $\hat{G}(z) = 4\epsilon_0 A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \chi^{(2)} \mathcal{E}_p^{(+)}(z, t) \hat{E}_s^{(-)}(z, t) \hat{E}_i^{(-)}(z, t) + \text{h. c.}$ being the momentum operator describing the SPDC interaction. Symbol ϵ_0 stands for the vacuum permittivity, A is the interaction area, and $\chi^{(2)}$ is the effective second-order nonlinear coefficient. The pump electric field amplitude is given by $\mathcal{E}_p^{(+)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_p e_p(\omega_p) e^{-i\omega_p t} e^{ik_p z}$, where the pump spectrum is characterized by its chirp ξ_p , and described by the function

$$e_p(\omega_p) = \frac{\eta_p \sqrt{\tau_p}}{\sqrt{2\pi} (1 + \xi_p^2)} \exp \left[-\frac{\tau_p^2}{4(1 + i\xi_p)} (\omega_p - \omega_p^0)^2 \right]. \quad (5)$$

In Eq. (5) the pump-pulse duration is denoted as τ_p and parameter $\eta_p = \sqrt{P_p / \epsilon_0 c f n_p}$ describes the pump amplitude, which depends on pump power P_p , pump repetition rate f , medium index of refraction n_p , and the speed of light c . The central frequency of the pump is denoted as ω_p^0 and k_p stands for the its longitudinal wave vector.

Entangled photons in a twin beam are described by the field operators [39]

$$\hat{E}_j^{(+)}(z, t) = \int d\omega_j \sqrt{\frac{\hbar \omega_j}{2\pi c A_q n_j}} \hat{a}_j(z, \omega_j) \times \exp[-i(\omega_j t - k_j z)], \quad j = s, i; \quad (6)$$

A_q is the quantization area, n_j is the index of refraction of field j , and $\hat{a}_j(z, \omega_j)$ stands for the photon annihilation operator of a mode with frequency ω_j . The annihilation operator $\hat{a}_j$ can be expressed as

$$\hat{a}_j(z, \omega_j) = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} f_{j,g}(\omega_s) \hat{a}_{j,g}(z), \quad (7)$$

where $\{f_{j,g}(\omega_j)\}$ represent the eigenfunctions, with eigenvalues $\{\lambda_g\}$, of the Schmidt decomposition of

the two-photon spectral amplitude giving the first-order perturbation solution of the momentum operator's Schrödinger equation (see Supplementary Materials for details). In Eq. (7), $\hat{a}_{j,g}$ denote the annihilation operators of the Schmidt modes defined at the output plane of the crystal [22],

$$\hat{a}_{s,g}(L) = u_g \hat{a}_{s,g}(0) + v_g \hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger(0), \quad (8)$$

$$\hat{a}_{i,g}(L) = u_g \hat{a}_{i,g}(0) + v_g \hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger(0), \quad (9)$$

and expressed in terms of the annihilation operators $\hat{a}_{j,g}(0)$ introduced in the input plane of the crystal. Functions $u_g = \cosh(\mathcal{N}\lambda_g)$ and $v_g = \sinh(\mathcal{N}\lambda_g)$, with $\mathcal{N}$ being a normalization constant, characterize the generated twin beam. Finally, the mean photon-pair number N_j of the twin beam is given by $N_j = \int d\omega_j \langle \hat{a}_j^\dagger(\omega_j) \hat{a}_j(\omega_j) \rangle = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \langle \hat{a}_{j,g}^\dagger \hat{a}_{j,g} \rangle = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} |v_g|^2$. This means that in order to produce intense twin beams, the condition $N_j = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} |v_g|^2 \gg 1$ must be satisfied.

Results— With the aim of demonstrating the capability of the proposed scheme for extracting information about the energy level structure of the medium, we consider a model system whose two-photon transitions take place via three intermediate states with randomly-chosen energies $\epsilon_j = \{1.586, 1.604, 1.619\}$ eV and the doubly-excited state satisfying the condition $\epsilon_f - \epsilon_g = 3.0996$ eV. Without loss of generality, we set all transition dipole moments μ_{fk} and μ_{kg} to one, and assume that the intermediate-state lifetimes are much larger than the twin-beam time duration as well as the delay τ [16, 18, 33]. These particular conditions allow us to use a BaB₂O₄ crystal as a suitable twin-beam source [26]. A near-to-collinear PDC with Type-II configuration is considered. The crystal, of length $L = 0.708$ mm, is illuminated by a laser pulse of wavelength $\lambda_p = 0.4$ μ m, with time duration $\tau_p = 1$ ps. For the sake of simplicity, we assume a spectrally degenerate twin beam, i.e. $\lambda_s = \lambda_i = \lambda_p/2$.

Figure 2 shows the TPA transition probability [Eq. (1)] as a function of the delay between the pulses, each of which carrying $N_j \approx 100$ photons. Notice the non-monotonic behavior of the TPA transition probability. This phenomenon, known as entanglement-induced two-photon transparency [11], arises from quantum interference of all possible intermediate-state transitions that contribute to the TPA process. It is important to remark here that, for this specific configuration, the oscillatory behavior of the TPA signal which is crucial for VSS can be observed for twin beams carrying up to $N_j = 10^4$ photon pairs. As can be seen in Fig. 2(b) for larger mean photon-pair numbers, this entanglement-induced effect I_{ent} tends to fade and the classical signal I_{cla} together with the constant signal I_{con} , where no relevant spectroscopy information is contained, start to take over.

To retrieve the spectroscopic information present in the TPA signal, one might feel tempted to directly Fourier




FIG. 2. (a) Normalized two-photon absorption (TPA) transition probability as a function of the delay τ between fields carrying $N_j \approx 100$ photons. Spectral decomposition [Eq. (7)] of the twin beams was done using 500 eigenmodes. (b) Different contributions of the transition probability integrated over the delay τ as a function of the twin-beam mean photon-pair number N_j . These contributions are marked as follow: entanglement-induced signal I_{ent} (blue line), classical signal I_{cla} (brown line), constant background signal I_{con} (yellow line), and sum of I_{cla} and I_{con} (red dashed line). All curves in (b) are normalized with respect to the sum of all contributions for $N_j = 1$.

transform Eq. (1). However, as pointed out in Ref. [16], the TPA signal as a function of delay τ contains spectral components at various intermediate frequencies that hinder the clear identification of virtual-state transitions [see Fig. 3(a)]. To address this issue, we introduce a new method that benefits from different strengths of transition probability fluctuations characterizing the sought and undesired peaks, which originate from the twin-beam spectral changes induced by the pump-frequency chirp ξ_p . These fluctuations are quantified by the relative variance R_n defined as

$$R_n = \text{var}_{\xi_p} [P_n] / M_{\xi_p} [P_n]^2, \quad (10)$$

where P_n is the transition probability of the n th spectral peak, and $M_{\xi_p} [P_n]$ its mean value. Figure 3(b) shows the relative variance of the resolved peaks present in the




FIG. 3. (a) Fourier transform of the TPA transition probability shown in Fig. 2. (b) Relative variance of transition-probability fluctuations of the peaks resolved in the TPA spectra obtained for 40 different values of the pump-frequency chirp $\xi_p \in (0.0, 0.1)$ and a crystal of length $L = 0.708$ mm. (c) Spectrum of the TPA transition probability averaged over an ensemble of 100 crystals of different lengths $L \in \langle 20, 22 \rangle$ mm. The vertical gray lines indicate the doubled relative energies $2|\epsilon_j - \epsilon_f|$ of the intermediate levels.

spectrum of the TPA signal [Fig. 3(a)]. It is clearly visible that the peaks with the lowest fluctuations (marked with vertical gray lines) are located at the sought energies $2|\epsilon_j - \epsilon_f|$. This allows us to immediately identify the intermediate states contributing to the two-photon excitation of the medium. The success of this technique resides

in the fact that the pump-frequency chirp does not disturb probabilities of these peaks, whereas the probabilities of the rest of the peaks, that are undesired, strongly fluctuate around their mean values.

To compare our approach with the original proposal of Saleh and collaborators [16], we perform in parallel an average of the TPA signal over different crystal lengths. The obtained spectrum of the averaged signal is plotted in Fig. 3(c). In the spectrum, the positions of the peaks that emerge from the Fourier transform of the averaged TPA signal exactly match those identified in our approach by the lowest available fluctuations. This demonstrates that our spectroscopic method can successfully retrieve information about intermediate-state energies. Interestingly, in contrast to the original implementation of VSS, our method may achieve transition probabilities up to four orders of magnitude larger and, more importantly, the average is done by simply changing the pump pulse chirp.

Conclusion— A new scheme for the implementation of virtual-state spectroscopy has been suggested and analyzed. The proposed scheme makes use of intense twin beams whose spectral quantum correlations are controlled by the spectral chirp of the pump pulse. With our technique, we were able to successfully retrieve the information about the energy-level structure of an absorbing medium by statistically analyzing two-photon transition probabilities obtained for different mutual time delays and pump-pulse chirps, using just a single nonlinear crystal. The experimental relative simplicity, together with much larger achievable two-photon transition probabilities may pave the way towards the first practical realization of the entangled-photon virtual-state spectroscopy technique.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This work was supported by the projects No. 15-08971S of the GA ČR and No. LO1305 of the MŠMT ČR (J.P.).

* jiri.svozilik@upol.cz

- [1] A. K. Ekert, Phys. Rev. Lett. **67**, 661 (1991).
- [2] M. A. Nielsen and I. L. Chuang, *Quantum computation and quantum information* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2010).
- [3] V. Giovannetti, S. Lloyd, and L. Maccone, Science **306**, 1330 (2004).
- [4] D.-I. Lee and T. Goodson, J. Phys. Chem. B **110**, 25582 (2006).
- [5] A. R. Guzman, M. R. Harpham, O. Süzer, M. M. Haley, and T. G. G. III, J. Am. Chem. Soc. **132**, 7840 (2010).
- [6] O. Roslyak and S. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. A **79**, 063409 (2009).

- [7] F. Schlawin, K. E. Dorfman, B. P. Fingerhut, and S. Mukamel, Phys. Rev. A **86**, 023851 (2012).
- [8] M. G. Raymer, A. H. Marcus, J. R. Widom, and D. L. P. Vitullo, J. Phys. Chem. B **117**, 15559 (2013).
- [9] K. E. Dorfman, F. Schlawin, and S. Mukamel, arXiv:1605.06746v1 (2016).
- [10] J. Javanainen and P. L. Gould, Phys. Rev. A **41**, 5088 (1990).
- [11] H.-B. Fei, B. M. Jost, S. Popescu, B. E. A. Saleh, and M. C. Teich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **78**, 1679 (1997).
- [12] F. Schlawin, K. E. Dorfman, B. P. Fingerhut, and S. Mukamel, Nat. Commun. **4**, 1782 (2013).
- [13] M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, Phys. Rev. Lett. **106**, 150501 (2011).
- [14] M. Shapiro and P. Brumer, *Quantum control of molecular processes* (Wiley-VCH, Weinheim, 2012).
- [15] J. Perina, *Quantum statistics of linear and nonlinear optical phenomena* (Kluwer, Boston, 1991).
- [16] B. E. A. Saleh, B. M. Jost, H.-B. Fei, and M. C. Teich, Phys. Rev. Lett. **80**, 3483 (1998).
- [17] J. Peřina, B. E. A. Saleh, and M. C. Teich, Phys. Rev. A **57**, 3972 (1998).
- [18] R. de J. León-Montiel, J. Svozilik, L. J. Salazar-Serrano, and J. P. Torres, New. J. Phys. **15**, 053023 (2013).
- [19] B. W. Shore, Am. J. Phys. **47**, 262 (1979).
- [20] J. J. Sakurai, *Modern Quantum Mechanics* (MA: Addison-Wesley, Boston, 1994).
- [21] D.-I. Lee and T. Goodson, Digest of the IEEE/LEOS Summer Topical Meetings 15-16 (2007).
- [22] J. Peřina Jr, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 013833 (2015).
- [23] J. Svozilik and J. Peřina Jr., Phys. Rev. A **80**, 023819 (2009).
- [24] A. Vallés, M. Hendrych, J. Svozilik, R. Machulka, P. Abolghasem, D. K., B. J. Bijlani, A. S. Helmy, and J. P. Torres, Opt. Express **21**, 10841 (2013).
- [25] R. Machulka, J. Svozilik, J. Soubusta, J. Peřina Jr., and O. Haderka, Phys. Rev. A **87**, 013836 (2013).
- [26] A. Allevi, O. Jedrkiewicz, E. Brambilla, A. Gatti, J. Peřina Jr, O. Haderka, and M. Bondani, Phys Rev. A **90**, 063812 (2014).
- [27] A. M. Pérez, T. S. Iskhakov, P. Sharapova, S. Lemieux, O. V. Tikhonova, M. V. Chekhova, and G. Leuchs, Opt. Lett. **39**, 2403 (2014).
- [28] J. Peřina Jr., O. Haderka, A. Allevi, and M. Bondani, Sci. Rep. **6**, 22320 (2016).
- [29] J. Peřina Jr., Phys. Rev. A **93**, 013852 (2016).
- [30] F. Schlawin and S. Mukamel, J. Phys. B **46**, 175502 (2013).
- [31] S. Carrasco, J. P. Torres, L. Torner, A. Sergienko, B. E. A. Saleh, and M. C. Teich, Phys. Rev. A **70**, 043817 (2004).
- [32] A. Valencia, A. Ceré, X. Shi, G. Molina-Terriza, and J. P. Torres, Phys. Rev. Lett. **99**, 243601 (2007).
- [33] J. Kojima and Q.-V. Nguyen, Chem. Phys. Lett. **396**, 323 (2004).
- [34] Y. Shen, *Principles of Nonlinear Optics* (Wiley-Interscience, New York, 1984).
- [35] S. Mukamel, *Principles of Nonlinear Optical Spectroscopy*, Oxford series in optical and imaging sciences (Oxford University Press, Oxford, 1999).
- [36] R. J. Glauber, in *Quantum Optics and Electronics*, edited by C. DeWitt, and C. Cohen-Tannoudji (Gordon and Breach Science Publishers, New York, 1965).
- [37] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, Rev. Mod. Phys. **37**.
- [38] L. Mandel and E. Wolf, *Optical Coherence and Quantum Optics* (Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 1995).
- [39] B. Huttner, S. Serulnik, and Y. Ben-Aryeh, Phys. Rev. A **42**, 5594 (1990).

Practical Entangled-Photon Virtual-State Spectroscopy using Intense Twin Beams Supplemental Material

Jiří Svozilík,^{1,2,*} Jan Peřina Jr.,¹ and Roberto de J. Leon-Montiel³

¹*RCPTM, Joint Laboratory of Optics of Palacký University and Institute of Physics of AS CR,
17. listopadu 12, 771 46 Olomouc, Czech Republic*

²*Quantum Optics Laboratory, Universidad de los Andes, A.A. 4976, Bogotá D.C., Colombia*

³*Department of Chemistry & Biochemistry, University of California San Diego, La Jolla, California 92093, USA*

MODEL OF INTENSIVE TWIN BEAMS

The intense twin beams composed of many photon pairs are described by using the following two-step procedure [1–4]. In the first step, we solve the Schrödinger equation in the first-order perturbation approximation that gives us a spectral two-photon amplitude describing one photon pair. Its generation in the process of spontaneous parametric down-conversion is governed by the following momentum operator $\hat{G}(z)$:

$$\hat{G}(z) = 4\epsilon_0 A \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dt \chi^{(2)} \mathcal{E}_p^{(+)}(z, t) \hat{E}_s^{(-)}(z, t) \hat{E}_i^{(-)}(z, t) + \text{h.c.}, \quad (1)$$

where ϵ_0 is the vacuum permittivity, A is the traverse area of the beams, $\chi^{(2)}$ is the effective value of the second order non-linear susceptibility tensor and h.c. replaces the Hermitian conjugated term. In Eq. (1), the interacting signal and idler beams are described quantum-mechanically via their operators of negative-frequency parts of their electric fields (see below). On the other hand, a classical non-depleted pump field with the following amplitude is considered:

$$\mathcal{E}_p^{(+)}(z, t) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_p e_p(\omega_p) \exp(-i\omega_p t + ik_p z). \quad (2)$$

Spatial dependence of the pump-beam amplitude is encapsulated in the longitudinal wave vector k_z . Moreover, we consider the pump beam to be homogeneous in the whole transversal area A . Its chirped spectrum is given by the function:

$$e_p(\omega_p) = \eta_p \sqrt{\frac{\tau_p}{\sqrt{2\pi}(1 + \xi_p^2)}} \times \exp\left(-\frac{\tau_p^2}{4(1 + i\xi_p)}(\omega_p - \omega_p^0)^2\right) \quad (3)$$

in which the pump-pulse duration is denoted as τ_p and its frequency chirp as ξ_p . The central frequency is marked as ω_p^0 . The symbol $\eta_p = \sqrt{P_p/\epsilon_0 c f n_p}$ is the pump amplitude as it depends on the pump power P_p and the repetition rate f , n_p is the index of refraction and c is the speed of light. The generated signal and

idler beams are described by the electric-field operator:

$$\hat{E}_j^{(+)}(z, t) = \frac{i}{\sqrt{2\pi}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_j \sqrt{\frac{\hbar\omega_j}{2\epsilon_0 A c n_j}} \hat{a}(\omega_j) \times \exp(-i\omega_j t + ik_j z), \quad (4)$$

$j = s, i$. Symbol $\hbar$ is the reduced Planck constant and $\hat{a}_j(\omega_j)$ is the annihilation operator of field j with frequency ω_j . The refraction index is marked as n_j . We have $A = A_q$ for a single photon for A_q being the quantization area. Then its entangled twin photon is localized inside its entangled area A_e and we have $A = A_e$. The entangled area is usually much smaller than the beam transversal area.

The first-order perturbation solution of the Schrödinger equation provides us the spectrally entangled two-photon state:

$$|\Phi\rangle = -\frac{i}{\hbar} \int_0^L dz \hat{G}(z) |\text{vac}\rangle = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_s \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_i \Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) \hat{a}_s^\dagger(\omega_s) \hat{a}_i^\dagger(\omega_i) |\text{vac}\rangle, \quad (5)$$

where Eqs. (1–4) are employed. The two-photon spectral amplitude $\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)$ is equal to:

$$\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i) = \frac{2i\chi^{(2)}\xi_p\sqrt{\omega_s\omega_i}}{\sqrt{2\pi n_p n_s n_i}} \sqrt{\frac{\tau_p}{\sqrt{2\pi}}} \times \exp\left[-\frac{\tau_p^2}{4}(\omega_s + \omega_i - \omega_p^0)^2\right] \int_{-L}^0 dz \exp(i[k_{zp}(\omega_s + \omega_i) - k_{zs}(\omega_s) - k_{zi}(\omega_i)]z). \quad (6)$$

The Schmidt decomposition of the normalized two-photon spectral amplitude $\tilde{\Phi}$, $\tilde{\Phi} = \Phi/\mathcal{N}$ and $\mathcal{N}^2 = \int d\omega_s \int d\omega_i |\Phi(\omega_s, \omega_i)|^2$, reveals pairs of spectral modes [5, 6] obeying the relation:

$$\tilde{\Phi}(\omega_s, \omega_i) = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g f_{s,g}^*(\omega_s) f_{i,g}^*(\omega_i). \quad (7)$$

Here $\{\lambda_g\}_{g=1}^{\infty}$ is the set of eigenvalues belonging to eigenfunctions $\{f_{s,g}(\omega_s)\}_{g=1}^{\infty}$ and $\{f_{i,g}(\omega_i)\}_{g=1}^{\infty}$. The state $|\Phi\rangle$ written in Eq. (5) is expressed in terms of the Schmidt eigenfunctions as:

$$|\Phi\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_s f_{s,g}^*(\omega_s) \hat{a}_s^\dagger(\omega_s) \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\omega_i f_{i,g}^*(\omega_i) \hat{a}_i^\dagger(\omega_i) |\text{vac}\rangle = \mathcal{N} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g \hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger |\text{vac}\rangle. \quad (8)$$

Here we have introduced the creation operators of Schmidt modes $\hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger$ [$\hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger$] for the signal (idler) eigenfunction $f_{s,g}(\omega_s)$ [$f_{i,g}(\omega_i)$] along the formula:

$$\hat{a}_{j,g}^\dagger = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} f_{j,g}^*(\omega_j) \hat{a}_j^\dagger(\omega_j), \quad j = s, i. \quad (9)$$

The inverse relation attains the form:

$$\hat{a}_j(\omega_j) = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} f_{j,g}^*(\omega_j) \hat{a}_{j,g}. \quad (10)$$

Considering the constant generation contribution along the crystal length, we can easily rewrite the momentum operator $\hat{G}(z)$ given in Eq. (1) to the form:

$$\hat{G}(z) = i\hbar\mathcal{N} \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} \lambda_g \hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger \hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger + \text{h.c.} \quad (11)$$

In the second step, we find the beams' evolution along the crystal z -axis. The beams are described by the operators $\hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger$ and $\hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger$ obeying the Heisenberg equations

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{\partial \hat{a}_{s,g}}{\partial z} &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{G}, \hat{a}_{s,g}] = \lambda_g \mathcal{N} \hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger, \\ \frac{\partial \hat{a}_{i,g}}{\partial z} &= \frac{i}{\hbar} [\hat{G}, \hat{a}_{i,g}] = \lambda_g \mathcal{N} \hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger \end{aligned} \quad (12)$$

written for the momentum operator $\hat{G}$. Their solution is obtained in the following simple form:

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{a}_{s,g}(L) &= u_g \hat{a}_{s,g}(0) + v_g \hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger(0), \\ \hat{a}_{i,g}(L) &= u_g \hat{a}_{i,g}(0) + v_g \hat{a}_{s,g}^\dagger(0) \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

where $u_g = \cosh(\mathcal{N}\lambda_g)$ and $v_g = \sinh(\mathcal{N}\lambda_g)$.

The substitution of Eqs. (13) into Eqs. (10) leaves us with the solution for annihilation operators belonging to monochromatic modes:

$$\hat{a}_s(\omega_s, L) = \sum_{g=1}^{\infty} f_{s,g}^*(\omega_s) \left[u_g \hat{a}_{s,g}(0) + v_g \hat{a}_{i,g}^\dagger(0) \right]. \quad (14)$$

Substituting the formulas of Eq. (14) to formula (4) for the signal and idler electric-field amplitudes $\hat{E}_j^\dagger(z=L, t)$, $j = s, i$, we are able to evaluate the four-point correlation function $G^{(2)}(t_1, t_2, t'_1, t'_2)$ defined in Eq. (3) of the main text.

* jiri.svozilik@upol.cz

- [1] J. Peřina Jr, Phys. Rev. A **92**, 013833 (2015).
- [2] J. Peřina Jr., Phys. Rev. A **93**, 013852 (2016).
- [3] W. Wasilewski, A. I. Lvovsky, K. Banaszek, and C. Radzewicz, Phys. Rev. A **73**, 063819 (2006).
- [4] F. Schlawin and S. Mukamel, J. Phys. B **46**, 175502 (2013).
- [5] C. K. Law, I. A. Walmsley, and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **84**, 5304 (2000).
- [6] C. K. Law and J. H. Eberly, Phys. Rev. Lett. **92**, 127903 (2004).